1 2 3	Jeffrey M. Reisner - State Bar No. 143715 Mike D. Neue - State Bar No. 179303 IRELL & MANELLA LLP 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400 Newport Beach, CA 92660	
4	Telephone: (949) 760-0991 Facsimile: (949) 760-5200	
5	Co-Counsel to the Official Committee of Creditors Holding Unsecured Claims	
7	of Creditors Holding Chisecured Claims	
8	UNITED STATES BA	NKRUPTCY COURT
9	SOUTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
10	In re	
11	LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	Case No. 03-03470- All through
12	and CRICKET COMMUNICATIONS, INC., et al.,	Case No. 03-03535-All (Jointly Administered)
13		Chapter 11 Case
14	Debtors.	SECOND INTERIM APPLICATION OF
15		IRELL & MANELLA LLP, CO- COUNSEL TO THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF CREDITORS
16		HOLDING UNSECURED CLAIMS, FOR COMPENSATION AND
17		REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
18	·	AUTHORITIES; AND DECLARATION OF JEFFREY M. REISNER IN
19		SUPPORT THEREOF
20		Hearing Date and Time:
21		DATE: May 6, 2004 TIME: 10:30 a.m.
22		PLACE: Department 2
23		JUDGE: Hon. Louise DeCarl Adler
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	SECOND INTERIM APPLICATION OF IRELL & MANELLA LLP FOR COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS	1101308.3 01

SUMMARY OF FEES AND COSTS REQUESTED BY APPLICANT¹

Application Period	October 1, 2003 – January 31, 2004
Total Hours Billed ²	73.80
Total Fees Requested	\$16,042.50
Total Fees Written-off	\$4,974.50
Total Costs Requested	\$1,096.95
Total Request	\$17,139.45
Blended Hourly Rate	\$217.38

Fees requested and approved in prior applications:

Date Filed or Served	Period Covered	Requested Fees	Requested Expenses	Allowed Fees	Allowed Expenses	Payment Received
11/10/03	4/13/03 – 9/30/03	\$92,858.00	\$4,239.56	\$92,858.00	\$3,818.56	\$96,676.56

MANELLA LLP FOR COMPENSATION AND

REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS

1101308.3 01

¹Attached hereto as Exhibit "2" is a summary of the total fees and costs incurred by the Applicant during the Application Period.

² Includes 28.4 hours of billable work that was voluntarily written-off by Applicant, which lowered the overall fee request by \$4,974.50. SECOND INTERIM APPLICATION OF IRELL & -2-

TO THE HONORABLE LOUISE DECARL ADLER, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Pursuant to the provisions of 11 U.S.C. §§ 328 and 1103, Irell & Manella LLP ("Applicant"), hereby respectfully applies to this Court for its second interim award of fees for professional services rendered to, and reimbursement of expenses incurred in its representation of, the Official Committee of Creditors Holding Unsecured Claims (the "Committee"), duly appointed in the chapter 11 case of Leap Wireless International, Inc. (the "Debtor").

On June 19, 2003, this Court entered its Order authorizing Applicant's employment as co-counsel to the Committee, effective as of April 13, 2003 (the "Employment Order"). Applicant has performed those legal services necessary to represent the Committee in the Debtor's chapter 11 case, in accordance with the terms of the Employment Order. Pursuant to the Employment Order, Applicant worked alongside Committee co-counsel Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP ("Kramer") in an effort to maximize recoveries for the unsecured creditors in the most efficient manner possible. In this regard, Kramer coordinated the activities of the respective firms, only asking Applicant to handle a task when Kramer believed it was most efficient to do so. Using this process, allocation of responsibility was clear and duplication avoided.

Pursuant to this allocation mechanism, Applicant finally reviewed, processed and filed all pleadings prepared on behalf of the Committee, while Kramer took a primary role in negotiations and preparation of substantive pleadings. Applicant also played an important role by assisting in negotiations and drafting pleadings, by preparing employment and fee related pleadings on behalf of the Committee's financial advisors.

Applicant made a conscious effort to avoid duplication of effort with Kramer and did not appear at hearings attended by Kramer attorneys unless absolutely necessary under the circumstances. In effect, Applicant functioned like a local branch office of Kramer. In so doing, Applicant helped to save the estate a significant amount of money.

On November 10, 2004, Applicant filed the "First Interim Application of Irell & Manella LLP, Co-Counsel to the Official Committee of Creditors Holding Unsecured Claims, for Compensation and Reimbursement of Costs," seeking payment of fees and expenses incurred from April 13, 2003 through September 30, 2003.

On December 17, 2003, the Court entered its Order Approving Interim Application of Irell & Manella LLP for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses, allowing fees of \$92,858.00, costs of \$3,818.56, and authorizing payment of the same. Pursuant to the Court's order Applicant has received payment of \$96,676.56.

By this Application, Applicant seeks approval of compensation and reimbursement of expenses incurred from October 1, 2003 through January 31, 2004 (the "Application Period"), in the amount of \$16,042.50 in fees and \$1,096.95 in expenses, for a total of \$17,139.45. The fees sought in this Application reflect a voluntary reduction of \$4,974.50 in fees, solely attributable to time spent preparing the first interim fee application. Applicant's write-off of 28.4 hours of billable time resulted in a decrease in the blended hourly rate for the Application Period from \$284.78 to \$217.38. Applicant requests that this Court authorize and direct the Debtor to pay to Applicant all outstanding sums awarded by the Court pursuant to this Application.

Applicant incorporates herein by reference the representations contained in the attached Declaration of Jeffrey M. Reisner (the "Reisner Declaration"). This Application is made and based upon the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Reisner Declaration, all pleadings on file in these cases, and all evidence, both oral and written, that may be submitted prior to or at the time of the hearing on this Application.

By virtue of the rapid pace of the Debtor's chapter 11 case, as well as the complexity of the Debtor's case and those of its affiliates and the contentious nature of certain of the proceedings in the case, Applicant has been required to incur the professional fees and costs described in the Reisner Declaration. However, as detailed herein, Applicant's diligent and successful efforts in the representation of the Committee significantly benefited unsecured creditors of the Debtor's estate. Moreover, the work performed by Applicant did not duplicate

1	work performed by Kramer, and Applicant's location and familiarity with local practice in the			
2	Southern District of California allowed Applicant to provide the Committee with the most			
3	efficient representation possible.			
4	WHEREFORE, Applicant requests that this Court enter an order as follows:			
5	1. Awarding to Applicant compensation for the Application Period, pursuant to			
6	sections 328 and 1103 of the Bankruptcy Code, in the amount of \$17,139.45, which consists of			
7	compensation for professional services rendered to the Committee in the amount of \$16,042.50,			
8	reimbursement for expenses incurred on behalf of the Committee in the amount of \$1,096.95, and a			
9	voluntary reduction of \$4,974.50;			
10	2. Authorizing and requiring the Debtor to pay to Applicant all sums awarded by the			
11	Court pursuant to this Application; and			
12	3. Granting to Applicant such other and further relief as the Court may deem just			
13	and proper under the circumstances of this case.			
· 14	DATED: April 6, 2004 IRELL & MANELLA LLP			
15				
16	By:			
17	/ Mike D. Neue Co-Counsel to the Official Committee			
18	of Creditors Holding Unsecured Claims			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				

- 5 -

MEMORA	ANDUM	OF:	POINTS	AND A	UTHO	PRITIES
---------------	-------	-----	--------	-------	------	---------

I.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Procedural Background

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

On April 13, 2003 (the "Petition Date"). the Debtor and certain affiliates each filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. By order dated April 14, 2003, the Court consolidated the debtors' cases for joint administration.

This Court has jurisdiction over this Application under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1408 and 1409. The statutory bases for the relief requested herein are sections 328 and 1103 of the Bankruptcy Code.

Background of the Debtor and the Appointment of the Committee В.

Prior to the commencement of the Debtor's bankruptcy case, several of the Debtor's largest holders of unsecured bonds formed the Unofficial Noteholders' Committee to work with the Debtor and its affiliates regarding a consensual reorganization of their financial and operational affairs. Immediately prior to the Petition Date, the Unofficial Noteholders' Committee consisted of Goldman, Sachs & Co., Aspen Advisors, LLC, Qualcom, Inc., Aquitania Partners LP, and Royal Bank of Canada, all of whom now serve on the Committee.

The Unofficial Noteholders' Committee retained Applicant and Kramer to act as its counsel. In connection with its representation of the Unofficial Noteholders' Committee, Applicant received a \$25,000.00 prepetition retainer (the "Retainer"). As of the Petition Date, \$16,316.80 of the Retainer remained in Applicant's client trust account.

On April 25, 2003, the Committee was appointed to represent the interests of unsecured creditors in the Debtor's case.³ The Committee then retained Applicant and Kramer as its counsel.

25

26

- 6 -

28

The primary representative of the creditors of the Debtor's subsidiaries is the Unofficial Vendor Debt Committee. The Unofficial Vendor Debt Committee employed both local counsel and lead counsel from New York, as was the case with the Committee, and its professionals are compensated by the estates. The Unofficial Vendor Debt Committee is represented by Andrews & Kurth and Pyle, Sims, et al. SECOND INTERIM APPLICATION OF IRELL &

1	
2	-
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
12	
13	
4	
15	
16	
17	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
5	Ш

By an Order entered on June 19, 2003 (the "Employment Order"), the Court approved Applicant's employment, effective as of April 13, 2003.

C. Background of Applicant

Applicant is a limited liability partnership with offices in Century City and Newport Beach, California. Applicant comprises attorneys duly licensed to practice law before the courts of the state of California and numerous United States District Courts, including the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. Applicant specializes in the fields of bankruptcy, corporate reorganization and insolvency law, among others.

Pursuant to Rule 2016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and Local Bankruptcy Rule 2016-2, the Reisner Declaration sets forth information regarding Applicant and the professionals employed by Applicant who billed time to the Debtor's chapter 11 case. The Reisner Declaration further sets forth the status of the case, including a narrative summary of the services performed by Applicant on behalf of the Committee and the resulting benefits to the Committee and its constituency.

Prior to the hearing on this Application, Applicant will submit a declaration from the Committee's chairperson, Neil Subin, indicating the Committee's support for this Application.

II.

APPLICANT HAS COMPLIED WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF RULE 2016 OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE, LOCAL BANKRUPTCY RULE 2016-2 AND THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE GUIDELINES

Rule 2016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure states, in pertinent part, as follows:

(a) Application for compensation or reimbursement

An entity seeking interim or final compensation for services, or reimbursement of necessary expenses, from the estate shall file an application setting forth a detailed statement of (1) the services rendered, time expended and expenses incurred, and (2) the amounts requested. An application for compensation shall include

26

	ı
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9.	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23 24	
47	1

a statement as to what payments have theretofore been made or promised to the applicant for services rendered or to be rendered in any capacity whatsoever in connection with the case, the source of the compensation so paid or promised, whether any compensation previously received has been shared and whether an agreement or understanding exists between the applicant and any other entity for the sharing of compensation received or to be received for services rendered in or in connection with the case

Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2016(a). Local Bankruptcy Rule 2016-2 and the "Guide to Applications for Professional Compensation" (the "Fee Guide") published by the United States Trustee for the Southern District of California provide detailed descriptions of the types of information required to be presented in fee applications.

The Reisner Declaration sets forth all information required by Rule 2016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and Local Bankruptcy Rule 2016-2, including a narrative summarizing the services performed by Applicant on behalf of the Committee. The Reisner Declaration also addresses Applicant's compliance with the Fee Guide, and provides information in conformance with the substantive requirements of the Fee Guide.

III.

THE BANKRUPTCY CODE PROVIDES FOR THE ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION AND FOR REIMBURSEMENT FOR EXPENSES

Section 328 of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes the Court to award counsel for a chapter 11 creditors' committee reasonable compensation for services rendered. That section provides, in pertinent part:

(a) The trustee, or a committee appointed under section 1102 of this title, with the court's approval, may employ or authorize the employment of a professional person under section 327 or 1103 of this title, as the case may be, on any reasonable

27

25

terms and conditions of employment, including on a retainer, on an hourly basis, or on a contingent fee basis. Notwithstanding such terms and conditions, the court may allow compensation different from the compensation provided under such terms and conditions after the conclusion of such employment, if such terms and conditions prove to have been improvident in light of developments not capable of being anticipated at the time of the fixing of such terms and conditions.

11 U.S.C. § 328(a).

The Employment Order establishes that Applicant was employed pursuant to sections 328, 330, and 1103 of the Bankruptcy Code. Moreover, as set forth in detail in the attached Reisner Declaration, Applicant has established that the requested fees are reasonable and were necessary given the circumstances of the Debtor's case and the role of the Committee in connection therewith. Applicant's request for compensation for services rendered to, and reimbursement of expenses incurred on behalf of, the Committee during the Application Period, satisfies the requirements of sections 328 and 1103 of the Bankruptcy Code.

IV.

CONCLUSION

Based on the time spent on this matter, the complexity of the issues involved, the results achieved, the experience of counsel, and the fact that Applicant's hourly rates and charges are comparable to those charged by similar law firms, Applicant requests that the Court approve this Application for payment of fees and reimbursement of costs as prayed.

DATED: April **§**, 2004

IRELL & MANELLA LLP

By

M. Reisner

Mike D. Neu

Co-Counsel to the Official Committee of Creditors Holding Unsecured Claims

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

DECLARATION OF JEFFREY M. REISNER

I, Jeffrey M. Reisner, hereby declare and state as follows:

- 1. I am a partner of the law firm of Irell & Manella LLP ("Applicant"), counsel to the Official Committee of Creditors Holding Unsecured Claims (the "Committee"), duly appointed in the chapter 11 case of Leap Wireless International, Inc. (the "Debtor"). Unless otherwise indicated, the matters stated herein are within my own personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. I am the attorney with Applicant who has been primarily responsible for overseeing Applicant's representation of the Committee.
- 3. I am licensed to practice in all of the courts of the State of California, as well as admitted to practice before the United States District Court, Southern District of California. I am authorized to and make this declaration on behalf of Applicant.

THE APPOINTMENT OF THE COMMITTEE IN THE DEBTOR'S CASE AND THE STATUS OF THE DEBTOR'S CASE

- 4. The Debtor and its affiliates commenced their chapter 11 cases by filing voluntary petitions for relief on April 13, 2003 (the "Petition Date").
- 5. Prior to the Petition Date, several of the Debtor's largest holders of unsecured bonds formed the unofficial noteholders' committee (the "Unofficial Noteholders' Committee") and retained Applicant and Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP ("Kramer") as their counsel. On April 25, 2003, the Committee was appointed to represent the interests of unsecured creditors in the Debtor's cases. The members of the Unofficial Noteholders' Committee became members of the Committee upon its appointment. On June 19, 2003, this Court entered its Order authorizing Applicant's employment as co-counsel to the Committee, effective as of the Petition Date (the "Employment Order"). Since that time, Applicant has performed those legal services necessary to

⁴ As the Court is aware, various subsidiaries and other affiliated entities of Leap Wireless International, Inc., including Cricket Communications, Inc., also commenced bankruptcy cases, which are jointly administered with the Debtor's bankruptcy case.

Attached hereto as Exhibit "1" is a true and correct copy of the Order Employing Irell & Manella LLP as Counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors. SECOND INTERIM APPLICATION OF IRELL &

9

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

28

27

represent the Committee in the Debtor's chapter 11 case, in accordance with the terms of the Employment Order.

- 6. Pursuant to the Employment Order, Applicant worked alongside Committee cocounsel Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP ("Kramer") in an effort to maximize recovery to the Committee in the most efficient manner possible. In this regard, Kramer coordinated the activities of the respective firms, only asking Applicant to handle a task when Kramer believed it was most efficient to do so. Using this process, allocation of responsibility was clear and duplication avoided.
- 7. Pursuant to this allocation mechanism, Applicant finally reviewed, processed and filed all pleadings prepared on behalf of the Committee, while Kramer took a primary role in negotiations and preparation of substantive pleadings. Applicant also played an important role by assisting in negotiations and drafting pleadings, by preparing employment and fee related pleadings on behalf of the Committee's financial advisors, and by assisting with issues related to the appeal of the confirmation order, when requested to do so by Kramer.
- 8. Applicant made a conscious effort to avoid duplication of effort with Kramer and did not appear at hearings attended by Kramer attorneys unless deemed absolutely necessary under the circumstances. In effect, Applicant functioned like a local branch office of Kramer. In so doing, Applicant helped to save the estate a significant amount of money.
- 9. By virtue of the rapid pace of the Debtor's chapter 11 case, as well as the complexity of the case and the contentious nature of certain of the proceedings in the case, Applicant has been required to incur the professional fees and costs described below. However, as detailed herein, Applicant's diligent and successful efforts in the representation of the Committee have been of significant benefit to unsecured creditors of the Debtor's estate. Moreover, the work performed by Applicant did not duplicate work performed by Kramer, and Applicant's location and familiarity with local practice in the Southern District of California allowed Applicant to represent the Committee in the most efficient manner.

10. Prior to the hearing on this Application, Applicant will submit a declaration from the Committee's chairperson, Neil Subin, indicating the Committee's support for this Application.

BACKGROUND OF APPLICANT AND STATUS OF THE CASE

- 11. Applicant is a limited liability partnership, which has offices in Century City and Newport Beach, California. Applicant's practice focuses on, among other areas of law, the fields of bankruptcy, corporate reorganization, and insolvency law, as well as tax, corporate, securities, real estate, and litigation matters. An important part of Applicant's chapter 11 practice is the representation of creditors' committees. Currently, I represent four creditors' committees or their successors in chapter 11 cases throughout California.
- 12. All attorneys employed by Applicant who appeared in these cases are duly licensed to practice in the courts of the State of California and various United States District Courts, including the Southern District of California. Attached hereto as Exhibit "6" are resumes of the Applicant's professionals who rendered services to the Committee during the Application Period, which describe the professionals' education, qualification and work experience. The resume addresses the information required by Rule 2016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and Local Bankruptcy Rule 2016-2.
- 13. A summary of fees for services performed and expenses incurred by Applicant in this case is attached hereto at Exhibit "2." A statement of compensation rates charged by each of Applicant's professionals involved in this case and the amount of fees attributable to such professional is attached hereto as Exhibit "7."

COMPENSATION REQUESTED BY APPLICANT

- 14. Applicant received a \$25,000.00 prepetition retainer (the "Retainer") in connection with its prepetition representation of the Unofficial Noteholders' Committee. Since the Petition Date, Applicant has not drawn down on the Retainer. The sum of \$16,316.80 remains in Applicant's client trust account.
- On November 10, 2004, Applicant filed the First Interim Application of Irell &
 Manella LLP, Co-Counsel to the Official Committee of Creditors Holding Unsecured Claims, for

1	1100 Meetings of Creditors
2	1200 Plan and Disclosure Statement
3	2000 Relief from Stay Proceedings
4	2100 Services for Other Professionals
5	19. Attached hereto as Exhibit "4" is a monthly breakdown of the time spent and the
6	fees incurred in connection with each category of service to which professional time was billed
7	during the Application Period. The following is a description of time spent and fees incurred in
8	each category:
9	(300) Business Operations. Applicant spent a total of 0.1 hours and incurred a total of
10	\$50.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services.
11	Applicant's services in this category included the following:
12	(1) Applicant reviewed and analyzed correspondence regarding transitional matters
13	facing the Debtor.
14	(400) Case Administration. Applicant spent a total of 2.0 hours and incurred a total of
15	\$694.00 in professional fees in this category of services.
16	Applicant's services in this category included the following:
17	(1) Applicant filed documents and pleadings on behalf of the Committee and ensured
18	compliance with the requirements of the Local Rules.
19	(2) Applicant regularly monitored the docket in the Debtor's cases and reviewed various
20	pleadings.
21	(3) Applicant provided information to representatives of the Committee relative to
22	developments and upcoming matters and hearings in the Debtor's chapter 11 case and conferred
23	with such representatives regarding its position on various matters.
24	(500) Claims Administration and Objections. Applicant spent a total of 6.7 hours and
25	incurred a total of \$3,203.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services.
26	Applicant's services in this category included the following:
27	

- 14 -

- (1) Applicant reviewed various claims against the estates and corresponded with the Committee regarding the validity and valuation of the claims.
- (2) Applicant conferred with the Debtor regarding claim objection procedures; and reviewed and analyzed issues relative to claims objections.
- (3) Applicant reviewed correspondence and conferred with the Committee regarding the settlement of claims.
- (600) Employee Benefits/Pension. Applicant spent a total of 0.1 hours and incurred a total of \$50.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services.

Applicant's services in this category included the following:

- (1) Applicant reviewed and analyzed correspondence regarding the resignation of the Debtor's officers.
- (700) Fee/Employment Applications. Applicant spent a total of 13.4 hours and incurred a total of \$6,280.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services (not including the 28.4 hours of billable work, representing fees of \$4,974.50, which were written-off by Applicant).

Applicant's services in this category included the following:

- (1) Applicant prepared its first interim fee application and the declarations, exhibits, and memorandum in support thereof.
- (2) Applicant prepared for and attended the hearing on its first interim fee application (800) Fee/Employment Objections. Applicant spent a total of 1.0 hour and incurred a total of \$160.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services.

Applicant's services in this category included the following:

- (1) Applicant filed and served an objection to the fee applications of UBS Warburg and Pricewaterhouse Coopers, professional employed in these cases.
- (1000) Litigation. Applicant spent a total of 0.3 hours and incurred a total of \$150.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services.

Applicant's services in this category included the following:

27

- 1	A				
1	(1) Applicant reviewed and analyzed correspondence regarding litigation against the				
2	Debtor in Chile by a secured lender of the Debtor.				
3	(1100) Meetings of Creditors. Applicant spent a total of 0.7 hours and incurred a total of				
4	\$350.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services.				
5	Applicant's services in this category included the following:				
6	(1) Applicant facilitated effective and timely communication with the Committee				
7	through its representatives regarding the outline of the Debtors' plan of reorganization and kept the				
8	Committee updated on the events and progress of the Debtors' cases.				
9	(1200) Plan and Disclosure Statement. Applicant spent a total of 7.3 hours and incurred a				
10	total of \$2,659.50 in professional fees in connection with this category of services.				
11	Applicant's services in this category included the following:				
12	(1) Applicant reviewed and analyzed various issues arising from the plan confirmation				
13	process, including of plan confirmation issues, estimates of fees, and the status of confirmation				
14	proceedings.				
15	(2) Applicant performed legal research regarding appeal of, and a motion to stay, the				
16	Courts' order confirming the plan of reorganization.				
17	(3) Applicant also filed and served the Committee's objection to the motion for stay the				
18	Court's order confirming the plan of reorganization.				
19	(4) Applicant also performed legal research and drafted a joinder of the Committee to				
20	Debtor's opposition to appeal of the confirmation order				
21	(2000) Relief from Stay Proceedings. Applicant spent a total of 0.4 hours and incurred a				
22	total of \$200.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services.				
23	Applicant's services in this category included the following:				
24	(1) Review and analysis of correspondence regarding the stay of the Court's order				
25	confirming the plan of reorganization and the oppositions thereto.				
26.	(2100) Services for Other Professionals. Applicant spent a total of 13.4 hours and				
27	incurred a total of \$2,246.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services.				

Applicant's services in this category included the following:

- (1) Applicant facilitated the preparation of fee applications, and supporting documents for other professionals employed by the Committee, including Kramer and Chanin Capital Partners.
- (2) Applicant filed the Applications and supporting orders for the fee applications of Kramer and Chanin Capital Partners.
- 20. Attached hereto and incorporated by this reference as Exhibit "5," are the detailed time records of Applicant concerning its representation of the Committee in the Debtor's case. These records consist of computer printouts in the form of billing statements prepared and forwarded to the Committee in the ordinary course of practice by Applicant. Time records are prepared on a daily basis by the attorneys and legal assistants of Applicant. Time is measured on a "tenths of an hour basis." The billing statements contain a chronological summary of the time spent and services rendered to the Committee by Applicant in this case. The billing statements in this case are set forth under Applicant's File No. 158262 and include services rendered during the Application Period, as well as the expenses incurred on behalf of the Committee during the Application Period.

REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES REQUESTED BY APPLICANT

21. As set forth in the Application, Applicant is requesting reimbursement of expenses incurred during the Application Period in the amount of \$1,096.95. Applicant has prepared a breakdown of the expenses incurred, on a month-by-month basis, in connection with its representation of the Committee in these chapter 11 cases, which is attached hereto as Exhibit "8." Almost all of the following expense categories reflect substantial savings to the estates by virtue of Applicant's negotiated discounts with outside vendors and utilization of Applicant's extensive investment in computer and document scanning technologies (for which no reimbursement is sought) in favor of more expensive traditional copying, fax, overnight delivery and messenger charges.

- 17 -

SECOND INTERIM APPLICATION OF IRELL & MANELLA LLP FOR COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS

⁶For an individual, itemized cost summary, please refer to Exhibit "9," which are Applicant's invoices that were recorded on a monthly basis.

22. The following is a brief cost summary as to the different types of expenses for which Applicant is seeking reimbursement:

A. <u>Document Reproduction</u>: Applicant incurred the total sum of \$328.95 in document reproduction expenses. Applicant's photocopy equipment will operate only when a specific client number is entered into the equipment. In addition, the coding system permits the entry of a code number for the <u>type</u> of photocopying to be done. All of Applicant's clients, whether debtor, creditor or committee, are charged \$.15 per page, as set forth in Applicant's retainer agreements with its clients. Wherever possible, Applicant utilized its scanning and e-mail technology to distribute, at no cost, documents to the Committee and other parties in the cases.

B. Westlaw and Pacer Research. A total of \$97.16 was incurred for online research in this case. Charges for online research are made at the time the services are utilized and are recorded by the client to be charged for the online service, the attorney or paralegal performing the online research, and the time spent therefor. The charges are calculated by the provider of the services and are based on the time actually spent connected to the data base.

C. <u>Air Freight & Messenger Services</u>: Applicant incurred the sum of \$508.50, in expedited delivery and messenger service charges during the Application Period.

D. <u>Postage</u>: A cost recovery system in Applicant's mail room records the client for whom postage charges are incurred and the amount to be charged. These charges are then recorded on the client's account. Applicant incurred the sum of \$38.40 in postage charges for mailing of various documents in connection with its representation of the Committee in these chapter 11 cases.

E. <u>Long Distance</u>: Applicant incurred the sum of \$2.72 in long distance telephone charges in these cases. Records of long-distance telephone calls are created and maintained by a computerized system used by Applicant which includes the date of the call, the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

telephone number reached, the client to be charged for the call and the attorney or legal assistant making the call.

- F. <u>Postage</u>: Applicant incurred the total sum of \$38.40 in postage charges for mailing of various notices, motions, pleadings, and correspondence in connection with the representation of the Committee in these chapter 11 cases. A cost-recovery system in Applicant's mail room records the client for whom postage charges are incurred and the amount to be charged.
- G. <u>Parking and Mileage</u>: Applicant incurred the sum of \$121.22 for mileage and parking costs in connection with these chapter 11 cases.
- 23. Other than as between the members of Applicant, no agreement or understanding of any kind exists between Applicant and any other person for the sharing of compensation received or to be received by Applicant for services rendered to the Committee, in connection with these chapter 11 cases.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this **8** day of April, 2004, at Newport Beach, California.

EVRHY M. REISNER