| 1 | | | |
|----------|---|---|--|
| 1 2 | Jeffrey M. Reisner- State Bar No. 143715 Mike D. Neue- State Bar No. 179303 L. Kalli Smith - State Bar No. 224359 | | |
| 3 | IRELL & MANELLA LLP 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400 Newport Beach, CA 92660 | | |
| 4 5 | Telephone: (949) 760-0991 Facsimile: (949) 760-5200 | | |
| 6 7 | Co-Counsel to the Official Committee of Creditors Holding Unsecured Claims | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT | | |
| 9 | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | In re | Case No. 03-03470- All | |
| 11 | LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL, INC., and CRICKET COMMUNICATIONS, INC., et | through Case No. 03-03535-All | |
| 12 | <u>al.</u> | (Jointly Administered) | |
| 13 | | Chapter 11 Case | |
| 14 15 | Debtors. | FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION OF IRELL & MANELLA LLP, CO- COUNSEL TO THE OFFICIAL | |
| 16 | | COMMITTEE OF CREDITORS HOLDING UNSECURED CLAIMS, FOR COMPENSATION AND | |
| 17 | | REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND | |
| 18 19 | | AUTHORITIES; AND DECLARATION OF JEFFREY M. REISNER IN SUPPORT THEREOF | |
| 20 | | Hearing Date and Time: | |
| 21 | | DATE: December 11, 2003 | |
| 22 | | TIME: 10:30 a.m. PLACE: Department 2 | |
| 23 | | JUDGE: Hon. Louise DeCarl Adler | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 28 | | | |
| 20 | | | |



2

3

TO THE HONORABLE LOUISE DECARL ADLER, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Pursuant to the provisions of 11 U.S.C. §§ 328 and 1103, Irell & Manella LLP 4 ("Applicant"), hereby respectfully applies to this Court for its first interim award of fees for 5 professional services rendered to, and reimbursement of expenses incurred in its representation 6 of, the Official Committee of Creditors Holding Unsecured Claims (the "Committee"), duly-7 appointed in the chapter 11 case of Leap Wireless International, Inc. (the "Debtor"). 8

On June 19, 2003, this Court entered its Order authorizing Applicant's employment as 9 co-counsel to the Committee, effective as of April 13, 2003 (the "Employment Order"). 10 Pursuant to the Employment Order, Applicant worked alongside Committee co-counsel Kramer 11 Levin Naftalis & Frankel LP ("Kramer") in an effort to maximize recovery to the Committee in 12 the most efficient manner possible. In this regard, Kramer coordinated the activities of the 13 respective firms, only asking Applicant to handle a task when Kramer believed it was most 14 efficient to do so. Using this process, allocation of responsibility was clear and duplication 15 avoided. 16

17

Pursuant to this allocation mechanism, Applicant finally reviewed, processed and filed all pleadings prepared on behalf of the Committee, while Kramer took a primary role in 18 negotiations and preparation of substantive pleadings. Applicant also played an important role 19 by assisting in negotiations and drafting pleadings, by preparing employment and fee related 20 pleadings on behalf of the Committee's financial advisors, and by covering depositions taken in 21connection with confirmation of the Plan when requested to do so by Kramer. 22

Applicant also appeared in Court on behalf of the Committee several times. Applicant 23 made a conscious effort to avoid duplication of effort with Kramer and did not appear at 24 hearings attended by Kramer attorneys unless deemed absolutely necessary under the 25

-3-

- 26
- 27
- 28

circumstances.² In effect, Applicant functioned like a local branch office of Kramer. In so doing, Applicant helped to save the estate a significant amount of money. Notably, Applicant did not appear before the Court in connection with approval of the disclosure statement or confirmation of the plan of reorganization.

Since the Petition Date, Applicant has performed those legal services necessary to represent the Committee in the Debtor's chapter 11 case, in accordance with the terms of the Employment Order. Applicant has not filed any previous applications for fees and/or costs in connection with its representation of the Committee in these cases and has not received any postpetition payments in connection with its representation of the Committee from any source.

By this Application, Applicant seeks an order of this Court approving compensation and reimbursement of expenses for the period from April 13, 2003 through September 30, 2003 (the "Application Period"), in the amount of \$92,858.00 in fees and \$4,238.56 in expenses, for a total of \$97,096.56. Applicant further requests that this Court authorize and direct the Debtor to pay to Applicant all outstanding sums awarded by the Court pursuant to this Application.

Applicant incorporates herein by reference the representations contained in the attached Declaration of Jeffrey M. Reisner (the "Reisner Declaration"). This Application is made and based upon the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Reisner Declaration, all pleadings on file in these cases, and all evidence, both oral and written, that may be submitted prior to or at the time of the hearing on this Application.

By virtue of the rapid pace of the Debtor's chapter 11 case, as well as the complexity of the Debtor's case and those of its affiliates and the contentious nature of certain of the proceedings in the case, Applicant has been required to incur professional fees and costs on the Committee's behalf. However, as detailed herein, Applicant's diligent and successful efforts in the representation of the Committee significantly benefited unsecured creditors of the Debtor's estate. Moreover, the work performed by Applicant did not duplicate work performed by

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

-4-

<sup>27
&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It was only necessary for Applicant to appear in Court along with Kramer attorneys for hearings at which the parties anticipated that one of the partners of Kramer might testify. Applicant believes that on only two occasions were attorneys from Applicant and Kramer both in attendance.

| 1 | Kramer, and Applicant's location and familiarity with local practice in the Southern District of | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| 2 | California allowed Applicant to provide the Committee with the most efficient representation | | |
| 3 | possible. | | |
| 4 | WHEREFORE, Applicant requests that this Court enter an order as follows: | | |
| 5 | 1. Awarding to Applicant compensation for the Application Period, pursuant to | | |
| 6 | sections 328 and 1103 of the Bankruptcy Code, in the amount of \$97,096.56, which consists of | | |
| 7 | compensation for professional services rendered to the Committee in the amount of \$92,858.00, | | |
| 8 | and reimbursement for expenses incurred on behalf of the Committee in the amount of \$4,238.56; | | |
| 9 | 2. Authorizing and requiring the Debtor to pay to Applicant all sums awarded by the | | |
| 10 | Court pursuant to this Application; and | | |
| 11 | 3. Granting to Applicant such other and further relief as the Court may deem just | | |
| 12 | and proper under the circumstances of this case. | | |
| 13 | DATED: November <u>10</u> , 2003 IRELL & MANELLA LLP | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | By: | | |
| 16 | Mike D. Neue L. Kalli Smith | | |
| 17 | Co-Counsel to the Official Committee of Creditors Holding Unsecured Claims | | |
| 18 | of Creditors Holding Onsecured Claims | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20, | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

-5-

| 1 | MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES |
|----|--|
| 2 | I. |
| 3 | FACTUAL BACKGROUND |
| 4 | A. <u>Procedural Background</u> |
| 5 | On April 13, 2003 (the "Petition Date") the Debtor and certain affiliates each filed a |
| 6 | voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. By order dated April 14, |
| 7 | 2003, the Court consolidated the debtors' cases for joint administration. |
| 8 | This Court has jurisdiction over this Application under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This |
| 9 | matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1408 and 1409. The statutory bases |
| 10 | for the relief requested herein are sections 328 and 1103 of the Bankruptcy Code. |
| 11 | B. <u>Background of the Debtor and the Appointment of the Committee</u> |
| 12 | Prior to the commencement of the Debtor's bankruptcy case, several of the Debtor's largest |
| 13 | holders of unsecured bonds formed the Unofficial Noteholders' Committee to work with the Debtor |
| 14 | and its affiliates regarding a consensual reorganization of their financial and operational affairs. |
| 15 | Immediately prior to the Petition Date, the Unofficial Noteholders' Committee consisted of |
| 16 | Goldman, Sachs & Co., Aspen Advisors, LLC, Qualcom, Inc., Aquitania Partners LP, and Royal |
| 17 | Bank of Canada, all of whom now serve on the Committee. |
| 18 | The Unofficial Noteholders' Committee retained Applicant and Kramer to act as its counsel. |
| 19 | In connection with its representation of the Unofficial Noteholders' Committee, Applicant received |
| 20 | a \$25,000.00 prepetition retainer (the "Retainer"). As of the Petition Date, \$16,316.80 of the |
| 21 | Retainer remained in Applicant's client trust account. |
| 22 | On April 25, 2003, the Committee was appointed to represent the interests of unsecured |
| 23 | creditors in the Debtor's case. ³ The Committee then retained Applicant and Kramer as its counsel. |
| 24 | By an Order entered on June 19, 2003 (the "Employment Order"), the court approved Applicant's |
| 25 | employment, effective as of April 13, 2003. |
| 26 | |
| 27 | ³ The primary representative of the creditors of the Debtor's subsidiaries is the Unofficial Vendor Debt Committee. The Unofficial Vendor Debt Committee employed both local counsel and lead counsel from New York, as was the case |
| 28 | with the Committee, and its professionals are compensated by the estates. The Unofficial Vendor Debt Committee is represented by Andrews & Kurth and Pyle, Sims, et al. |

. '

-6-

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

C. Background of Applicant

Applicant is a limited liability partnership with offices in Century City and Newport Beach, California. Applicant comprises attorneys duly licensed to practice law before the courts of the State of California and numerous United States District Courts, including the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. Applicant specializes in the fields of bankruptcy, corporate reorganization and insolvency law, among others.

Pursuant to Rule 2016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and Local Bankruptcy
Rule 2016-2, the Reisner Declaration sets forth information regarding Applicant and the
professionals employed by Applicant who billed time to the Debtor's chapter 11 case. The Reisner
Declaration further sets forth the status of the case, including a narrative summary of the services
performed by Applicant on behalf of the Committee and the resulting benefits to the Committee
and its constituency.

Prior to the hearing on this Application, Applicant will submit a declaration from the
 Committee's chairperson, Neil Subin, indicating the Committee's support for this Application.

II.

APPLICANT HAS COMPLIED WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF RULE 2016 OF THE

FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE, LOCAL

BANKRUPTCY RULE 2016-2 AND THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE GUIDES

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Rule 2016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure states, in pertinent part, as follows:
(a) Application for Compensation or Reimbursement. An entity seeking interim or final compensation for services, or reimbursement of necessary expenses, from the estate shall file an application setting forth a detailed statement of (1) the services rendered, time expended and expenses incurred, and (2) the amounts requested. An

application for compensation shall include a statement as to what payments have theretofore been made or promised to the applicant

-7-

| 1 | for services rendered or to be rendered in any capacity whatsoever in |
|----|---|
| 2 | connection with the case, the source of the compensation so paid or |
| 3 | promised, whether any compensation previously received has been |
| 4 | shared and whether an agreement or understanding exists between the |
| 5 | applicant and any other entity for the sharing of compensation |
| 6 | received or to be received for services rendered in or in connection |
| 7 | with the case |
| 8 | Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2016(a). Local Bankruptcy Rule 2016-2 and the "Guide to Applications for |
| 9 | Professional Compensation" (the "Fee Guide") published by the United States Trustee for the |
| 10 | Southern District of California provide detailed descriptions of the types of information required to |
| 11 | be presented in fee applications. |
| 12 | The Reisner Declaration sets forth all information required by Rule 2016 of the Federal |
| 13 | Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and Local Bankruptcy Rule 2016-2, including a narrative |
| 14 | summarizing the services performed by Applicant on behalf of the Committee. The Reisner |
| 15 | Declaration also addresses Applicant's compliance with the Fee Guide, and provides information in |
| 16 | conformance with the substantive requirements of the Fee Guide. |
| 17 | III. |
| 18 | THE BANKRUPTCY CODE PROVIDES FOR THE ALLOWANCE |
| 19 | OF COMPENSATION AND FOR REIMBURSEMENT FOR EXPENSES |
| 20 | Section 328 of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes the Court to award counsel for a chapter 11 |
| 21 | creditors' committee reasonable compensation for services rendered. That section provides, in |
| 22 | pertinent part: |
| 23 | (a) The trustee, or a committee appointed under section 1102 of this title, |
| 24 | with the court's approval, may employ or authorize the employment of a |
| 25 | professional person under section 327 or 1103 of this title, as the case may be, on |
| 26 | any reasonable terms and conditions of employment, including on a retainer, on an |
| 27 | hourly basis, or on a contingent fee basis. Notwithstanding such terms and |
| 28 | conditions, the court may allow compensation different from the compensation |
| | |

.

11

-8-

| 1 | provided under such terms and conditions after the conclusion of such employment, | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | if such terms and conditions prove to have been improvident in light of | |
| 3 | developments not capable of being anticipated at the time of the fixing of such terms | |
| 4 | and conditions. | |
| 5 | 11 U.S.C. § 328(a). | |
| 6 | The Employment Order establishes that Applicant was employed pursuant to sections 328, | |
| 7 | 330, and 1103 of the Bankruptcy Code. Moreover, as set forth in detail in the attached Reisner | |
| 8 | Declaration, Applicant has established that the requested fees are reasonable and were necessary | |
| 9 | given the circumstances of the Debtor's case and the role of the Committee in connection | |
| 10 | therewith. Applicant's request for compensation for services rendered to, and reimbursement of | |
| 11 | expenses incurred on behalf of, the Committee during the Application Period, satisfies the | |
| 12 | requirements of sections 328 and 1103 of the Bankruptcy Code. | |
| 13 | IV. | |
| 14 | CONCLUSION | |
| 15 | Based on the time spent on this matter, the complexity of the issues involved, the results | |
| 16 | achieved, the experience of counsel, and the fact that Applicant's hourly rates and charges are | |
| 17 | comparable to those charged by similar law firms, Applicant requests that the Court approve this | |
| 18 | Application for payment of fees and reimbursement of costs as prayed. | |
| 19 | DATED: November <u>lo</u> , 2003 IRELL & MANELLA LLP | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | By: | |
| 22 | Mike D. Neue L. Kalli Smith | |
| 23 | Co-Counsel to the Official Committee of Creditors Holding Unsecured Claims | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | -9- | |

| 1 | DECLARATION OF JEFFREY M. REISNER | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| 2 | I, Jeffrey M. Reisner, hereby declare and state as follows: | | |
| 3 | 1. I am a partner of the law firm of Irell & Manella LLP ("Applicant"), counsel to the | | |
| 4 | Official Committee of Creditors Holding Unsecured Claims (the "Committee"), duly-appointed in | | |
| 5 | the chapter 11 case of Leap Wireless International, Inc. (the "Debtor"). ⁴ Unless otherwise | | |
| 6 | indicated, the matters stated herein are within my own personal knowledge and, if called as a | | |
| 7 | witness, I could and would competently testify thereto. | | |
| 8 | 2. I am the attorney with Applicant who has been primarily responsible for overseeing | | |
| 9 | Applicant's representation of the Committee. | | |
| 10 | 3. I am licensed to practice in all of the courts of the State of California, as well as | | |
| 11 | admitted to practice before the United States District Court, Southern District of California. I am | | |
| 12 | authorized to and make this declaration on behalf of Applicant. | | |
| 13 | THE APPOINTMENT OF THE COMMITTEE IN THE DEBTOR'S CASE AND THE | | |
| 14 | STATUS OF THE DEBTOR'S CASE | | |
| 15 | 4. The Debtor and its affiliates commenced their chapter 11 cases by filing voluntary | | |
| 16 | petitions for relief on April 13, 2003 (the "Petition Date"). | | |
| | | | |
| 17 | 5. Prior to the Petition Date, several of the Debtor's largest holders of unsecured bonds | | |
| 17 18 | | | |
| | 5. Prior to the Petition Date, several of the Debtor's largest holders of unsecured bonds | | |
| 18 | 5. Prior to the Petition Date, several of the Debtor's largest holders of unsecured bonds formed the unofficial noteholders' committee (the "Unofficial Noteholders' Committee") and | | |
| 18 19 | 5. Prior to the Petition Date, several of the Debtor's largest holders of unsecured bonds formed the unofficial noteholders' committee (the "Unofficial Noteholders' Committee") and retained Applicant and Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LP ("Kramer") as their counsel. On April | | |
| 18 19 20 | 5. Prior to the Petition Date, several of the Debtor's largest holders of unsecured bonds formed the unofficial noteholders' committee (the "Unofficial Noteholders' Committee") and retained Applicant and Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LP ("Kramer") as their counsel. On April 25, 2003, the Committee was appointed to represent the interests of unsecured creditors in the | | |
| 18 19 20 21 | 5. Prior to the Petition Date, several of the Debtor's largest holders of unsecured bonds formed the unofficial noteholders' committee (the "Unofficial Noteholders' Committee") and retained Applicant and Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LP ("Kramer") as their counsel. On April 25, 2003, the Committee was appointed to represent the interests of unsecured creditors in the Debtor's cases. The members of the Unofficial Noteholders' Committee became members of the | | |
| 18 19 20 21 22 | 5. Prior to the Petition Date, several of the Debtor's largest holders of unsecured bonds formed the unofficial noteholders' committee (the "Unofficial Noteholders' Committee") and retained Applicant and Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LP ("Kramer") as their counsel. On April 25, 2003, the Committee was appointed to represent the interests of unsecured creditors in the Debtor's cases. The members of the Unofficial Noteholders' Committee became members of the Committee upon its appointment. On June 19, 2003, this Court entered its Order authorizing | | |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 5. Prior to the Petition Date, several of the Debtor's largest holders of unsecured bonds formed the unofficial noteholders' committee (the "Unofficial Noteholders' Committee") and retained Applicant and Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LP ("Kramer") as their counsel. On April 25, 2003, the Committee was appointed to represent the interests of unsecured creditors in the Debtor's cases. The members of the Unofficial Noteholders' Committee became members of the Committee upon its appointment. On June 19, 2003, this Court entered its Order authorizing Applicant's employment as co-counsel to the Committee, effective as of the Petition Date (the | | |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 5. Prior to the Petition Date, several of the Debtor's largest holders of unsecured bonds formed the unofficial noteholders' committee (the "Unofficial Noteholders' Committee") and retained Applicant and Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LP ("Kramer") as their counsel. On April 25, 2003, the Committee was appointed to represent the interests of unsecured creditors in the Debtor's cases. The members of the Unofficial Noteholders' Committee became members of the Committee upon its appointment. On June 19, 2003, this Court entered its Order authorizing Applicant's employment as co-counsel to the Committee, effective as of the Petition Date (the | | |

² ⁷ Attached hereto as Exhibit "1" is a true and correct copy of the Order Employing Irell & Manella LLP as Counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors.

represent the Committee in the Debtor's chapter 11 case, in accordance with the terms of the 1 Employment Order. 2

6. Although Applicant still holds in its client trust account \$16,316.80 of a \$25,000.00 3 prepetition retainer, Applicant has not filed any previous applications for fees and/or costs in 4 connection with the Debtor's bankruptcy case and has not received any postpetition payments to 5 compensate it for services rendered to the Committee. 6

7

7. Pursuant to the Employment Order, Applicant worked alongside Committee cocounsel Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LP ("Kramer") in an effort to maximize recovery to the 8 Committee in the most efficient manner possible. In this regard, Kramer coordinated the activities 9 of the respective firms, only asking Applicant to handle a task when Kramer believed it was most 10 efficient to do so. Using this process, allocation of responsibility was clear and duplication 11 avoided. 12

8. Pursuant to this allocation mechanism, Applicant finally reviewed, processed and 13 filed all pleadings prepared on behalf of the Committee, while Kramer took a primary role in 14 negotiations and preparation of substantive pleadings. Applicant also played an important role by 15 assisting in negotiations and drafting pleadings, by preparing employment and fee related pleadings 16 on behalf of the Committee's financial advisors, and by covering depositions taken in connection 17 with confirmation of the Plan when requested to do so by Kramer. 18

Applicant also appeared in Court on behalf of the Committee several times. 19 9. Applicant made a conscious effort to avoid duplication of effort with Kramer and did not appear at 20 hearings attended by Kramer attorneys unless deemed absolutely necessary under the 21 circumstances.⁶ In effect, Applicant functioned like a local branch office of Kramer. In so doing, 22 Applicant helped to save the estate a significant amount of money. Notably, Applicant did not 23 appear before the Court in connection with approval of the disclosure statement or confirmation of 24 the plan of reorganization. 25

²⁷ ⁶ It was only necessary for Applicant to appear in Court along with Kramer attorneys for hearings at which the parties anticipated that one of the partners of Kramer might testify. Applicant believes that on only two occasions were 28 attorneys from Applicant and Kramer both in attendance.

10. By virtue of the rapid pace of the Debtor's chapter 11 case, as well as the complexity 1 of the case and the contentious nature of certain of the proceedings in the case. Applicant has been 2 required to incur professional fees and costs on the Committee's behalf. However, as detailed 3 herein, Applicant's diligent and successful efforts in the representation of the Committee have been 4 of significant benefit to unsecured creditors of the Debtor's estate. Moreover, the work performed 5 by Applicant did not duplicate work performed by Kramer, and Applicant's location and familiarity 6 with local practice in the Southern District of California allowed Applicant to represent the 7 Committee in the most efficient manner. 8

9 11. I believe that prior to the hearing on this Application, Applicant will submit a
10 declaration from the Committee's chairperson, Neil Subin, indicating the Committee's support for
11 this Application.

12

BACKGROUND OF APPLICANT AND STATUS OF THE CASE

12. Applicant is a limited liability partnership, which has offices in Century City and
Newport Beach, California. Applicant's practice focuses on, among other areas of law, the fields
of bankruptcy, corporate reorganization, and insolvency law, as well as tax, corporate, securities,
real estate, and litigation matters. An important part of Applicant's chapter 11 practice is the
representation of creditors' committees. Currently, I represent seven creditors' committees or their
successors in chapter 11 cases throughout California.

19 13. All attorneys employed by Applicant who appeared in these cases are duly licensed
to practice in the courts of the State of California and various United States District Courts,
including the Southern District of California. A copy of Applicant's resume addressing the
information required by Rule 2016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and Local
Bankruptcy Rule 2016-2, including the education, qualifications, and experience of the members of
Applicant's firm who rendered services to the Committee during the Application Period, is attached
hereto as Exhibit "6."

14. A summary of fees for services performed and expenses incurred by Applicant in
this case is attached hereto at Exhibit "2." This summary also includes the name of the legal

assistant who worked on this case. A statement of compensation rates charged by each of Applicant's professionals involved in this case is attached hereto as Exhibit "7."

3

4

5

6

7

8

1

2

COMPENSATION REQUESTED BY APPLICANT

15. Applicant received a \$25,000.00 prepetition retainer (the "Retainer") in connection with its prepetition representation of the Unofficial Noteholders' Committee. Since the Petition Date, Applicant has not drawn down on the Retainer. The sum of \$16,316.80 remains in Applicant's client trust account. Applicant has not received any other compensation in connection with the services rendered to the Committee since the Petition Date.

16. This is Applicant's first interim application for professional fees and costs. By this
Application, Applicant is requesting that the Court approve the sum of \$92,858.00 in fees and
\$4,238.56 in costs, for a total of \$97,096.56. Applicant incurred these fees and costs on behalf of
the Committee during the period beginning April 13, 2003 and ending September 30, 2003 (the
"Application Period").

14 15

SERVICES PERFORMED BY APPLICANT

16 17. In compliance with the requirements of the Fee Guide, Applicant has created
17 separate categories for the types of services rendered to the Committee. An analysis of professional
18 and paraprofessional time spent in each category of services is attached hereto as Exhibit "3." A
19 summary of the categories of services utilized by Applicant in the Debtor's case is as follows:

-13-

| 20 | 200 | Asset Disposition |
|----|------|--------------------------------------|
| 21 | 300 | Business Operations |
| 22 | 400 | Case Administration |
| 23 | 500 | Claims Administration and Objections |
| 24 | 700 | Fee/Employment Application |
| 25 | 800 | Fee/Employment Objections |
| 26 | 900 | Financing |
| 27 | 1000 | Litigation |
| 28 | 1100 | Meetings of Creditors |

| 1 | 1200 Plan and Disclosure Statement | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | 1900 Valuation | |
| 3 | 2100 Services For Other Professionals | |
| 4 | 18. Attached hereto as Exhibit "4" is a monthly breakdown of the time spent and the | |
| 5 | fees incurred in connection with each category of service to which professional time was billed | |
| 6 | during the Application Period. The following is an overview of time spent and fees incurred in | |
| 7 | each category: | |
| 8 | (200) Asset Disposition. Applicant spent a total of 1.80 hours and incurred a total of | |
| 9 | \$900.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services. | |
| 10 | Services performed by Applicant in this category included the following: | |
| 11 | (1) Applicant monitored the status of various asset disposition issues and communicated | |
| 12 | with the Committee regarding the status of asset sales. | |
| 13 | (300) Business Operations. Applicant spent a total of 1.1 hours and incurred a total of | |
| 14 | \$550.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services. | |
| 15 | Applicant's services in this category included the following: | |
| 16 | (1) Applicant reviewed and analyzed SEC reporting issues and reviewed and analyzed | |
| 17 | issues relating to the Debtor's board of directors. | |
| 18 | (400) Case Administration. Applicant spent a total of 76.0 hours and incurred a total of | |
| 19 | \$23,286.00 in professional fees in this category of services. | |
| 20 | Applicant's services in this category included the following: | |
| 21 | (1) Applicant prepared for and attended the Debtor's 341(a) meeting of creditors and | |
| 22 | reported to the Committee regarding the same. | |
| 23 | (2) Applicant coordinated appearances at hearings with co-counsel, Kramer. In this | |
| 24 | regard Kramer and Applicant created a strategy to efficiently handle case administration issues | |
| 25 | without duplicating effort. | |
| 26 | (3) Applicant filed all documents and pleadings that were filed on behalf of the | |
| 27 | Committee and ensured compliance with the requirements of the Local Rules. | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |

-14-

(4) Applicant prepared for and attended various hearings, including hearings regarding
 executive compensation, retention of noticing agent, authorization for payment of utilities, and
 procedures for interim professional compensation. Also, Applicant regularly monitored the docket
 in the Debtor's cases and reviewed various pleadings.

(5) Applicant provided information to representatives of the Committee relative to
developments and upcoming matters and hearings in the Debtor's chapter 11 case and conferred
with such representatives regarding its position on various matters.

8 (6) Applicant regularly maintained an updated creditor matrix and conferred with
 9 Debtor's counsel on a regular basis regarding service issues to ensure that appropriate notice was
 10 given in the Debtor's case.

(500) Claims Administration and Objections. Applicant spent a total of 4.1 hours and
 incurred a total of \$2,050.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services.
 Applicant's services in this category included the following:

(1) Applicant reviewed and analyzed several objections filed in connection with the
 Debtor's motion to use cash collateral and the Debtor's motions to reject executory contracts and
 leases and shared its analysis with Kramer to develop a strategy relative to same.

17 (2) Applicant reviewed various claims against the estates and corresponded with
 18 representatives of the Committee regarding their validity and valuation.

<u>(700) Fee/Employment Applications</u>. Applicant spent a total of 54.9 hours and incurred a
 total of \$18,135.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services.

21

Applicant's services in this category included the following:

(1) Applicant negotiated with the Committee and conferred with counsel for the Debtor
relative to the terms of Applicant's employment and compensation in the Debtor's chapter 11 case,
and Applicant prepared and filed an application to be employed as counsel to the Committee,
including the supporting declarations, disclosures, notice, and order thereon. Applicant also
communicated with various parties requesting copies of Applicant's employment application.

27 (2) Applicant also prepared and filed an employment application on behalf of the
28 Committee's financial advisors, Chanin Capital Partners ("Chanin"). In order to do this, Applicant

-15-

gathered information from and conferred with Chanin regarding the terms of its employment. 1 Applicant then drafted and filed the application, supporting declarations, notice, and order thereon. 2 Applicant also prepared, served, and filed Chanin's monthly fee statements in (3)3 compliance with the United States Trustee Guidelines, and assisted with the preparation and filing 4 of Kramer's employment application. 5 Applicant reviewed several employment applications filed by the Debtor to employ (4) 6 professionals in the Debtor's' chapter 11 case, including, among others, Debtor's general counsel, 7 Latham & Watkins LLP, and the Debtor's financial advisors. 8 (800) Fee/Employment Objections. Applicant spent a total of 1.5 hours and incurred a 9 total of \$648.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services. 10 Applicant's services in this category included the following: 11 (1)Applicant reviewed and analyzed documents relating to the Debtor's retention of a 12 valuation expert. 13 (900) Financing. Applicant spent a total of 1.1 hours and incurred a total of \$550.00 in 14 professional fees in connection with this category of services. 15 Applicant's services in this category included the following: 16 (1)Applicant reviewed and analyzed the Debtor's cash collateral order and 17 communicated and advised the Committee with regard to its content and significance. 18 (1000) Litigation. Applicant spent a total of 43.6 hours and incurred a total of \$19,754.00 19 in professional fees in connection with this category of services. 20 Applicant's services in this category included the following: 21 Applicant prepared for and attended a hearing on the Debtor's motions to pay utility (1)22 providers, critical vendors, and prepetition withholding taxes. 23 (2)Applicant assisted Kramer in preparing an opposition to the motion to appoint an 24 equity holders' committee in the Debtor's case. Defending against the equity holders' committee 25 motion involved drafting and filing an objection and analysis and preparation related to various 26 discovery requests, including depositions and a motion for an examination under Rule 2004 of the 27 Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. 28

-16-

(3) Applicant also assisted with the preparation of a response to the motion to continue 1 the hearing on the Committee-supported disclosure statement, and to an opposition to the 2 disclosure statement itself. Accordingly, Applicant's work in this area contributed to the 3 Committee's success in confirming the negotiated reorganization plan in the Debtor's case. 4

(4) Applicant also aided in negotiating a stipulation regarding the prosecution of 5 avoidance actions. 6

(1100) Meetings of Creditors. Applicant spent a total of 2.1 hours and incurred a total of \$1,050.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services.

Applicant's services in this category included the following:

(1)Applicant facilitated effective and timely communication with the Committee 10 through its representatives and kept the Committee updated on the events and progress of the 11 Debtor's cases without the necessity of participation in the many long meetings required in a 12 bankruptcy case of this complexity. Communications with representatives of the Committee 13 included, among others, conferences relating to the Debtor's 341(a) hearing, conferences regarding 14 the motion to appoint an equity holders' committee, and substantial electronic correspondence 15 reporting the results of the hearings on the Debtor's motions to pay critical vendors, utilities, and 16 withholding taxes, and providing advice relative to many strategic matters. 17

18

7

8

9

(1200) Plan and Disclosure Statement. Applicant spent a total of 66.5 hours and incurred a total of \$23,601.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services. 19 Applicant's services in this category included the following: 20

Applicant reviewed and analyzed various issues arising from the plan confirmation (1)21 22 process, including review and analysis of the plan and revised plan, plan notice, plan term sheet, 23 correspondence with the U.S. Trustee regarding vote solicitation, the objections to the Committee's 24 disclosure statement and plan, and orders of the court pertaining to the valuation and confirmation 25 hearing and voting procedures.

26 (2)Applicant also prepared the Committee for the valuation and plan confirmation 27 hearings by attending the depositions of various expert witnesses that had been designated by the 28 proponents of a competing plan of reorganization.

-17-

- (1900) Valuation. Applicant spent a total of 0.2 hours and incurred a total of \$100.00 in 1 professional fees in connection with this category of services. 2 Applicant's services in this category included the following: 3 (1) Review and analysis of correspondence regarding the valuation proceedings. 4 (2100) Services for Other Professionals. Applicant spent a total of 6.1 hours and incurred 5 a total of \$2,234.00 in professional fees in connection with this category of services. 6 Applicant's services in this category included the following: 7 (1)Applicant facilitated the filing of Kramer's pro hac vice applications. In so doing, 8 Applicant reviewed Kramer's motion to appear pro hac vice, monitored the status of the 9 applications, and corresponded with Kramer regarding issues relevant to appearances by its 10 attorneys in the Southern District of California. 11 (2)This category also includes time billed reviewing and analyzing the interim and 12 monthly fee applications of Chanin, and work performed by Applicant to facilitate discovery efforts 13 on behalf of Kramer. 14 16. Attached hereto and incorporated by this reference as Exhibit "5," are the detailed 15 time records of Applicant concerning its representation of the Committee in the Debtor's case. 16 17 These records consist of computer printouts in the form of billing statements prepared and forwarded to the Committee in the ordinary course of practice by Applicant. Time records are 18 prepared on a daily basis by the attorneys and legal assistants of Applicant. Time is measured on a 19 "tenths of an hour basis." The billing statements contain a chronological summary of the time 20 spent and services rendered to the Committee by Applicant in this case. The billing statements in 21 this case are set forth under Applicant's File No. 158262 and include services rendered during the 22 Application Period, as well as the expenses incurred on behalf of the Committee during the 23 Application Period. 24 **REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES REQUESTED BY APPLICANT** 25 17. As set forth in the Application, Applicant is requesting reimbursement of expenses 26 27 incurred during the Application Period in the amount of \$4,238.56. Applicant has prepared a
- 28

-18-

breakdown of the expenses incurred, on a month-by-month basis, in connection with its

representation of the Committee in these chapter 11 cases, which is attached hereto as Exhibit "8."⁷
Almost all of the following expense categories reflect substantial savings to the estates by virtue of
Applicant's negotiated discounts with outside vendors and utilization of Applicant's extensive
investment in computer and document scanning technologies (for which no reimbursement is
sought) in favor of more expensive traditional copying, fax, overnight delivery and messenger
charges.

7 18. The following is a brief cost summary as to the different types of expenses for which
8 Applicant is seeking reimbursement:

A. Document Reproduction: Applicant incurred the total sum of \$1,754.25 in. 9 document reproduction expenses. Applicant's photocopy equipment will operate only 10 when a specific client number is entered into the equipment. In addition, the coding system 11 permits the entry of a code number for the type of photocopying to be done. All of 12 Applicant's clients, whether debtor, creditor or committee, are charged \$.15 per page, as set 13 forth in Applicant's retainer agreements with its clients. Wherever possible, Applicant 14 utilized its scanning and e-mail technology to distribute, at no cost, documents to the 15 Committee and other parties in the cases. 16

B. <u>Facsimile Charges</u>: Applicant incurred the sum of \$1,066.00 in facsimile charges. All of Applicant's clients, whether debtor, creditor or committee, are charged \$1.65 per page, as set forth in Applicant's retainer agreements with its clients. Applicant does not charge for the telephone call as a separate telephone call charge, nor does Applicant charge for documents faxed from Applicant's desktops (e.g., through Rightfax technology) or for incoming faxes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

C. <u>Federal Express/Overnight Delivery & Messenger Services</u>: Applicant incurred the sum of \$190.28, in federal express/overnight delivery and messenger service charges during the Application Period.

28 ⁷For an individual, itemized cost summary, please refer to Exhibit "9," which are Applicant's invoices that were recorded on a monthly basis.

-19-

| 1 | D. <u>Postage</u> : A cost recovery system in Applicant's mail room records the client for |
|----|---|
| 2 | whom postage charges are incurred and the amount to be charged. These charges are then |
| 3 | recorded on the client's account. Applicant incurred the sum of \$461.56 in postage charges |
| 4 | for mailing of various documents in connection with its representation of the Committee in |
| 5 | these chapter 11 cases. |
| 6 | E. Long Distance: Applicant incurred the sum of \$82.61 in long distance telephone |
| 7 | charges in these cases. Records of long-distance telephone calls are created and maintained |
| 8 | by a computerized system used by Applicant which includes the date of the call, the |
| 9 | telephone number reached, the client to be charged for the call and the attorney or legal |
| 10 | assistant making the call. |
| 11 | F. Westlaw and Pacer Research. A total of \$139.02 was incurred for online |
| 12 | research in this case. Charges for online research are made at the time the services are |
| 13 | utilized and are recorded by the client to be charged for the online service, the attorney or |
| 14 | paralegal performing the online research, and the time spent therefor. The charges are |
| 15 | calculated by the provider of the services and are based on the time actually spent |
| 16 | connected to the data base. |
| 17 | G. <u>Travel</u> : Parking charges, train, and/or airline fares, taxi, hotel and the like are |
| 18 | passed directly to the client at Applicant's cost. In this case, Applicant incurred travel |
| 19 | charges of \$25.20 on behalf of the Committee. Applicant also incurred \$83.55 in costs |
| 20 | incurred for parking and mileage. |
| 21 | H. <u>Filing Fees:</u> Applicant filed several pleadings with the Court in connection with |
| 22 | its representation of the Committee in the Debtor's case. As a result, Applicant incurred |
| 23 | filing fees of \$400.00. |
| 24 | H. Miscellaneous Costs: Applicant incurred miscellaneous charges of \$36.09 on |
| 25 | behalf of the Committee. Miscellaneous costs include working meals, secretarial overtime, |
| 26 | and other miscellaneous charges that necessarily arise in the course of representation. |
| 27 | 19. Other than as between the members of Applicant, no agreement or |
| 28 | understanding of any kind exists between Applicant and any other person for the sharing of |
| | |

-- --

-20-

PAGE 01

| ı | compensation received or to be received by Applicant for services rendered to the | |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | Committee, in connection with these chapter 11 cases. | |
| з | I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the | |
| 4 | foregoing is true and correct. | |
| 5 | Executed this 10th day of November, 2003, at Newport Beach, California. | |
| 6 | N.MIM | l |
| 7 | JEFFRET M. REISNER | |
| 8 | V | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | -21- | |
| | | |